



Making Cancer History®

Addendum 3

Expand Rotary House International Hotel

RFQ Request for Information Questions and Answers

RFQ Due Date: **11/27/18** at **1:00 pm** (Local Time)

Page 1 of 8

Bid Number: **442330/JSW**

Date: **11/16/18**

BID MUST BE SUBMITTED ON OR ATTACHED TO THIS SIGNED FORM

RETURN BIDS AS SHOWN BELOW

Copies of bid, descriptive literature or supplemental materials required:

Nine (9) Copies

FAX or TELEX Bids NOT Permitted

U.S. Mail /Hand Delivery/Express Mail/Courier Service

Address: (Including Federal Express, UPS, etc.)

**The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
Attn: John Wroth
6900 Fannin, Suite 10.1000 Conference room A/B
Houston, Texas 77030**

BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE IDENTIFIED BY THE COMPANY NAME. BID NUMBER MUST BE SHOWN ON THE LOWER LEFT HAND CORNER OF THE ENVELOPE.

BIDS MAY BE SUBMITTED AT ANY TIME UNTIL BID CLOSING DATE.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MD ANDERSON CANCER CENTER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ANY AND ALL BIDS OR ANY PART THEREOF.

BIDDER MUST COMPLETE AND SIGN BELOW

Company Name: _____

Mailing Address: _____

(STREET OR BOX #)

(CITY)

(STATE)

(ZIP)

Telephone No.: _____ / _____

AC

Email Address: _____

(Authorized Signature)

(DATE)

(Typed or Printed Name and Title)

RFQ Request for Information Questions and Answers are attached.

Expand Rotary House International Hotel

RFQ # 442330/JSW

Addendum # 3 – RFI Questions and Answers

Question 1:

Please confirm 3.6.9 and 3.6.10 are duplicates. Can we remove 3.6.10 from the response?

Answer 1:

Yes.

Question #2:

It is possible to exclude the surety from the page count? Surety letters are 9-12 pages.

Answer #2:

Yes.

Question #3:

Will MD Anderson be hiring a geotechnical engineer to produce a report separate from the design/build contractor? Or will this scope be included in the design/build award?

Answer #3:

The DB team will hire the geotechnical engineer. However, the proposed geotechnical engineer will need to be approved by the owner.

Question #4:

I am writing to ask if the project sections in the Rotary RFQ can be extended to the last 10 years.

Answer #4:

No.

Question #5:

Is there any chance to extend the due date a week with many people out for the Thanksgiving Holiday?

Answer #5:

No.

Question #6:

Can the RFQ submission be extended to a maximum of 50 pages based on the information requested in the RFQ?

Answer #6:

No.

Question #7:

The drawings included in the Design Criteria package are from 2008. Can we get an updated set of drawings that reflect the current scope of work?

Answer #7:

No, the drawings issued are for reference only to give respondents an idea of what we were thinking could be done back then. We're hiring a DB team to create a new design that meets our needs going forward.

Question #8:

In the Pre-Submittal conference it was stated that there would be a 6 month hold on the project between SD's and DD's, would you provide an updated project schedule (item 2.5) based on the information presented?

Answer #8:

The RFQ schedule on page 11 reflects the 6 month time frame between the start of SD and completion of DD. In actuality the lag between the completion of SD and release to start DD may only be 6 weeks-2 months.

Question #9:

Is this project looking for LEED certification?

Answer #9:

No.

Question #10:

Can you extend the RFQ Submission date to 11/30/18 based upon the anticipated receipt of an Addendum received by 11/21/18.

Answer #10:

No.

Question #11:

Is there additional scope required in the Braeswood parking garage other than the Bridge tie-in.

Answer #11:

No.

Question #12:

The information requested in 3.4.1 concerning Incident Rate and Days Away from Work Rate is not available on a per project basis for each project participant. Is the yearly rate requested in items 3.6.7 and 3.6.8 sufficient safety information.

Answer #12:

Yes.

Question #13:

Please confirm that contract comments are required in the RFQ submittal as requested in item 3.7 vs. that noted in item 1.3.

Answer #13:

It is MD Anderson's expectations that respondents will provide comments and requested changes to the draft agreement in the RFQ response.

Question #14:

Is there a separate HUB goal for professional services required for the RFQ.

Answer #14:

No.

Question #15:

Can you confirm that the following items are duplicated questions and only need to be responded to 1 time based on the page count stated. Items 3.2.8 and 3.6.5, items 3.6.9 and 3.6.10, items 3.9.7 and 3.1.3.

Answer #15:

Disregard questions 3.6.5, 3.6.10 & 3.9.7.

Question #16:

In reference to question 3.5.1 in the RFQ, due to the nature of this project as a urban hotel renovation and addition, would it be acceptable to respond with representative projects on active campuses under continuous operation?

Answer #16:

Yes.

Question #17:

Will a geotechnical report be provided for this project or will it be required to by the awarded team for their scope of work?

Answer # 17:

See response #3. The DB hired geotechnical engineer will be responsible for the investigation and generation of the report.

Question #18:

Please confirm that 3.6.5 and 3.2.8 are duplicate questions. Can we remove one of the questions from the response?

Answer #18:

See response to answer #15.

END OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION